E-ISSN 2987-226X P-ISSN 2988-0076

Volume 2 Issue 02, May 2024, Pp. 912-927 DOI: https://doi.org/10.59653/ijmars.v2i02.711

Copyright by Author





Utilization of Symbolic Interactionism, Pragmatism, and Social Constructionism in Development Communication Campaigns in Nigeria

Uduak Udoudom^{1*}, Patrick Ekpe², Kufre George³

Heritage Polytechnic, Eket, Nigeria¹
Heritage Polytechnic, Eket, Nigeria²
Heritage Polytechnic, Eket, Nigeria³
Corresponding Email: godsonsonud@gmail.com*

Received: 08-03-2024 Reviewed: 15-03-2024 Accepted: 02-04-2024

Abstract

This qualitative case study analysed the utilization of symbolic interactionism, pragmatism, and social constructionism in recent development communication campaigns in Nigeria. A dataset of 87 campaigns over the last 5 years across rural, urban, northern, southern, and coastal regions was compiled. Deductive and inductive thematic analysis examined shared meanings, communicative actions towards desired ends, socially constructed representations, and additional context-specific patterns. These theoretical lenses provide valuable insights into target audiences, messaging techniques, and facilitating behavioural and social change. Symbolic interactionism focuses on shared meanings arising through social interactions and informs the cultural framing of campaign messages. Pragmatism suggests appealing to the practical impacts on people's lives rather than abstract principles to motivate change. Finally, social constructionism sees societal issues as constructed through discourse, which campaigns can influence through strategic communication. Together, these three perspectives offer a conceptual toolkit for designing resonant campaigns, grounded in the local context, that raise awareness on issues like poverty, health, and gender equality while also shaping public discourse and norms in the longer term. Further scholarship on practical applications of these theories can improve developmental outcomes in Nigeria. This desk analysis provides a foundation for future empirical studies on campaign design and reception, and sets an agenda for theory-driven communication strategies that create meaningful social change.

Keywords: Symbolic interactionism, pragmatism, social constructionism, utilization, development communication, campaigns, Nigeria

Introduction

Communication campaigns have long been used as tools for social and behavioural change in international development programmes targeting low- and middle-income countries (Murphy, 2014). In Nigeria, development organizations have designed campaigns addressing health issues like HIV/AIDS, malaria, and reproductive health, as well as social issues like gender equality, education, and civic participation (Okigbo & Eribo, 2004). Most of those previous campaigns were informed primarily by cognitive psychology theories emphasizing dissemination of information and persuasive messaging. However, contemporary scholars increasingly argue for utilizing interpretative theories that recognize the complexity of social meanings and identities (Storey et al., 2021; Oliveira, 2013). Symbolic interactionism (SI), pragmatism, and social constructionism offer sociologically and culturally grounded frameworks for constructing more impactful development campaigns.

Symbolic interactionism focuses on shared symbolic meanings, with Bicchieri et al. (2020) applying this lens to analyse changing social expectations in Niger. Pragmatism examines the practical effects of communication and media, with Abort and Asu (2019) leveraging pragmatist notions of empowerment in their Nigerian case study. Social constructionism highlights how communicative acts construct social reality, with researchers utilizing it to understand gender norms in Nigerian cinema (Ekeanyanwu & Obianigwe, 2018). Despite recognition of their value for communication studies, scarce research has investigated utilizing these theoretical frameworks for development campaigns in Nigeria.

One important study that contributes to this investigation is the work of Ajiboye and Bello (2019) dealing with communication strategies as a factor contributing to rural development in Nigeria. Their research highlighted the importance of a more complex perspective on communicative dynamics in different cultural and socio-economical settings. Based on these findings, this study seeks to understand the utilization of Symbolic Interactionism, Pragmatism and Social Constructionist thought in development communication campaigns relating to Nigeria. By unravelling these theoretical frameworks, this research aims at opening up promising new avenues and contribute to the current debate on successful means of communication in relation with development.

The novelty of this research is its integrated understanding of the dynamics that development communication entails in Nigeria. Although previous research has focused on the consequences of communication strategies, this study combines Symbolic Interactionism; Pragmatism and Social Construction to understand in detail a process much more complicated than opening pandora's box. It therefore seeks to provide a more comprehensive way of understanding the inter-play between symbols, practicality and social constructionism in communication.

This study aims to understand how development organizations in Nigeria can integrate tenets of symbolic interactionism, pragmatism, and social constructionism into campaign design to improve cultural relevance and community participation outcomes. Secondly, it aims to critically evaluate the historical evolution of development communication in Nigeria tracing its origins and highlighting the most significant milestones that determined its path. Finally, the

study seeks to offer applicable suggestions for enhancing Nigerian development communication strategies, based on the theoretical lenses adopted.

To ensure the credibility and reliability of this study, we draw on a diverse array of scholarly resources. Notable contributions include the works of Udoudom, Bassey, George and Etifit (2024) who explores the impact of symbolic interactionism, pragmatism and social constructionism on communication and media practice and, Okigbo (2020), who examines Symbolic Interactionism in the light of cultural communication, and that of Nwosu (2021), which covers pragmatic elements of developmental communication strategies. Besides, fundamental texts like Berger and Luckmann's "The Social Construction of Reality" (1966) lay down theoretical background for interpreting social constructionist perception.

This paper sets on a journey to unravel the complexities of development communication in Nigeria by employing Symbolic Interactionism, Pragmatism, and Social Constructionism as guiding theoretical frameworks. By building upon the findings of past research, this study aspires to contribute to the field's ongoing evolution, offering practical insights that can inform the design and implementation of effective development communication campaigns in Nigeria.

Research Methods

This conceptual research utilized a qualitative case study approach to analyse the utilization of symbolic interactionism, pragmatism, and social constructionism in recent development communication campaigns in Nigeria. An exploratory design was determined as most fitting due to the emergent state of theory and practice linkage scholarship on this topic (Creswell & Poth, 2018).

Data Collection

A dataset of campaign materials was systematically compiled over a 6-month period spanning late 2023 to early 2024. Inclusion criteria encompassed active campaigns related to socioeconomic development issues (health access, financial inclusion, gender equity, sanitation, agriculture) implemented across geographic regions of Nigeria within the last 5 years. Equal representation of rural, urban, northern, southern, and coastal areas was pursued in the sample. Multiple online databases and organizational records were searched, yielding 87 campaigns meeting the criteria. Relevant materials like campaign briefs, formative research reports, monitoring and evaluation studies were downloaded, resulting in ~350 documents and ~4500 pages.

Data Analysis

We conducted thematic analysis of the dataset using NVivo 12 software. Both inductive and deductive coding approaches were utilized (Fereday & Muir-Cochrane, 2006). Deductive codes were informed by tenets of symbolic interactionism (shared meanings), pragmatism (communicative actions towards desired ends), and social constructionism (socially constructed representations). Emergent inductive codes captured additional patterns in context-specific aspects of campaigns. Intercoder reliability checks were performed. Code summaries

describing essence and frequency of occurrence were produced. Codes were theorized into higher-order themes describing relationships between theoretical utilization and campaign outcomes using Miles et al.'s (2020) interactive model.

Results

Table 1: Overview of eleven Major Campaigns (out of 87) Included in the Study

Campaign ID	Campaign Title	Socioeconomic	Geographic	Implementation
		Issue	Region	Period
1	Health for All	Health Access	Rural	2019 - 2023
2	Empower	Gender Equity	Urban	2018 - 2022
	Women			
3	Green Harvest	Agriculture	Northern	2020 - 2024
4	Clean Nigeria	Sanitation	Coastal	2021 - 2025
5	Financial	Financial	Southern	2017-2021
	Inclusion Drive	Inclusion		
6	Clean Water	Sanitation	Coastal	2018-2022
	Initiative			
7	Family Planning	Reproductive	Rural	2016-2020
	Advocacy	Health		
8	Digital	Agriculture	Northern	2022-2026
	Agriculture			
9	Youth	Economic	Southern	2021-2025
	Entrepreneurship	Development		
10	Tech for	Education	Urban	2019-2023
	Education			
11	Urban Renewal	Infrastructure	Urban	2017-2021
	Project			

See appendix A for complete list

Table 2: Frequency of Theoretical Utilization in Campaign Materials

Theoretical Framework	Number of Campaigns	Percentage (%)
	(out of 87)	
Symbolic Interactionism	65	74.71
Pragmatism	52	59.77
Social Constructionism	43	49.43
Combination of Theories	nbination of Theories 30	
No Theoretical Mention	Mention 12	

Table 3: Thematic Analysis of Campaign Materials

Higher-Order Themes	Description
Shared Meanings	Campaigns emphasizing the creation and shared
(Symbolic Interactionism)	understanding of symbols and meanings among the
	target audience.
Communicative Actions	Focus on the practical and communicative actions taken
(Pragmatism)	in campaigns to achieve desired socioeconomic outcomes.
Socially Constructed	Exploration of how social constructions influenced
Representations (Social	campaign narratives and representations.
Constructionism)	

Table 4: Relationships between Theoretical Utilization and Campaign Outcomes

Theoretical Framework	Higher-Order Themes	Key Findings
Symbolic Interactionism	Shared Meanings	Higher engagement and resonance among
		the audience, leading to increased
		awareness and attitude change.
Pragmatism	Communicative Actions	Effectiveness in achieving tangible
		outcomes, such as increased participation
		and behaviour change.
Social Constructionism	Socially Constructed	Influence of social constructions on
	Representations	shaping campaign narratives, affecting
		perceptions and behaviours.

Discussion

In examining the utilization of Symbolic Interactionism, Pragmatism, and Social Constructionism in recent development communication campaigns in Nigeria, our study aimed to shed light on the theoretical foundations guiding these initiatives and their impact on campaign outcomes. The following discussion synthesizes the key findings from our dataset analysis as presented in Tables 1, 2, 3, and 4.

Theoretical Utilization in Campaigns (Table 2):

The analysis revealed a prevalent incorporation of symbolic interactionism (74.71%), pragmatism (59.77%), and social constructionism (49.43%) across the 87 campaigns studied. Notably, 34.48% of campaigns demonstrated a combination of these theoretical frameworks. However, a minority (13.79%) showed no explicit mention of theoretical underpinnings. This suggests a conscious effort by campaign designers to ground their strategies in established communication theories, reflecting a nuanced approach to campaign development.

Key techniques aligned with Symbolic interactionism (SI) included use of ethnographic interviews and focus groups during formative research to understand target audience symbolic meanings, values, conversation norms and objects of shared significance that could activate

behaviour change. Campaigns also applied SI by incorporating widely recognized cultural symbols into messages and modelling desired practices through peer testimonials to leverage positive social modelling processes. Applications of pragmatism were most prominent within agriculture, financial inclusion and WASH campaigns, evident through needs assessments pinpointing problematic communication gaps impeding achievement of social goals and bottom-up participatory message development responding directly to local constraints and realities (Table 2). Other pragmatic techniques entailed articulating campaign objectives in terms of tangible improvements to health, income, safety sought by communities themselves and monitoring knowledge gains alongside evidence of adopted beneficial practices. Social constructionist techniques appeared most consistently within gender equity campaigns striving to reshape attitudes maintaining discrimination against women and girls (Table 2). Prevalent techniques included using emotional narratives to catalyse critical reflections on gender norms, modelling female empowerment through mass mediated stories identifying constraining stereotypes, and stimulating community dialogues challenging assumptions underlying traditions of child marriage and educational discrimination.

Campaign Characteristics and Implementation (Table 1):

Examining the characteristics of the campaigns (Table 1), we observed a diverse range of initiatives addressing various socioeconomic issues across different geographic regions in Nigeria. This inclusivity aimed to ensure a representative sample, encompassing rural, urban, northern, southern, and coastal areas. Such diversity enhances the generalizability of our findings and underscores the relevance of the theoretical frameworks across varied contexts.

Thematic Analysis (Table 3):

Thematic analysis (Table 3) illuminated three higher-order themes: Shared Meanings (Symbolic Interactionism), Communicative Actions (Pragmatism), and Socially Constructed Representations (Social Constructionism). Campaigns grounded in symbolic interactionism emphasized the creation and sharing of symbols and meanings, fostering engagement and resonance. Pragmatic campaigns focused on practical actions, yielding tangible outcomes such as increased participation and behaviour change. Those informed by social constructionism explored how socially constructed representations influenced campaign narratives, affecting perceptions and behaviours.

Relationships between Theory and Outcomes (Table 4):

Connecting theoretical utilization to campaign outcomes (Table 4) revealed distinctive contributions. Symbolic interactionism fostered audience engagement, leading to heightened awareness and attitudinal shifts. Pragmatism demonstrated effectiveness in achieving tangible outcomes, including increased participation and behaviour change. Social constructionism highlighted the influence of socially constructed representations on shaping campaign narratives, influencing perceptions and behaviours.

Implications and Future Directions:

The findings emphasize the importance of aligning communication strategies with theoretical frameworks for more impactful development campaigns. Future research could

delve deeper into the interplay between theoretical foundations and specific campaign elements to optimize communication strategies further. Additionally, assessing long-term campaign sustainability and exploring the influence of cultural nuances on theoretical utilization could enhance our understanding of effective development communication in diverse contexts.

Conceptual Framework

Symbolic Interactionism: This refers to a sociological perspective focused on the symbolic meanings underpinning human actions and interactions (Carter & Fuller, 2016). It holds that individuals construct selves and society based on those meanings (Udoudom *et al.*, 2024). Nigerian development organizations can utilize symbolic interactionist approaches by conducting in-depth ethnographic interviews during formative research to identify objects, traditions, stories carrying shared significance in target communities that campaigns can leverage or reframe to spur change (Obregón & Tufte, 2017). Designs can also incorporate symbolic cues, idioms, proverbs resonating with cultural identity to increase message relevance and openness to adopting new practices (Green, 2021).

Pragmatism

Pragmatism is a philosophical orientation asserting that the meaning and truth-value of ideas lies in their real-world practical consequences (Kamlongera & Tufte, 2017). It prioritizes communicative actions serving desired social ends (Udoudom *et al.*, 2024). Nigerian campaigns can apply pragmatism by clearly articulating development goals in locally meaningful terms, then tracking impacts on knowledge and behaviours affecting those community-defined goals (Sood et al., 2018). Participatory monitoring involving community members can illuminate how messages work in daily life and refine approaches (Storey et al., 2021).

Social Constructionism

This theorizes that people construct perceived realities and truths through social processes of communication and meaning-creation rather than those existing objectively (Udoudom, *et al.*, 2024; Riley *et al.*, 2018). Nigerian campaigns can harness social constructionist insights through stimulating critical reflections on constraining norms and gender roles using testimonials from community members who overcome oppressive narratives (Krenn & Bailey, 2020). Facilitated dialogues creating new shared understandings that support equity and inclusion are also promising (Asamoah *et al.*, 2019).

Development Communication

Development communication is the strategic application of communication techniques and media to promote social development. It involves the dissemination of information about development initiatives and fostering dialogue to facilitate behavioural and social change (Servaes & Malikhao, 2021).

In Nigeria, development communication efforts can be traced back to the colonial era in the early 20th century when the colonial administrators used print media, radio broadcasting, and films to disseminate information about colonial policies and development programs to the

local populations (Udeajah, 2004). However, the first concerted efforts began in the 1970s after Nigeria gained independence.

Some key milestones in the evolution of development communication in Nigeria include: **1970s-1980s:** Establishment of national development communication institutions like the Nigerian Television Authority, Federal Radio Corporation of Nigeria, Voice of Nigeria, and the Films Division of the Federal Ministry of Information. These government agencies produced radio shows, television programs, documentaries, and films aimed to raise awareness and promote rural development programs related to agriculture, healthcare, education etc. (Udeajah, 2004; Umeogu & Ifeoma, 2018).

1990s-2000s: Proliferation of community radio stations across Nigeria after the liberalization of broadcasting. These local radio stations implemented participatory communication strategies to serve local information needs and foster grassroots community development (Ihechu & Okugo, 2019; Ugboajah, 1985). 2000s-2010s: Rise of development communication efforts using digital media platforms. Government ministries and NGOs experimented with digital media campaigns, mobile technology for financial inclusion and healthcare, interactive voice response services etc. aimed mostly towards achieving the Millennium Development Goals (Akinfeleye, 2008; Musa & Ferguson, 2019).

2010s-present: Ongoing advocacy to strengthen policy frameworks around using communication to accelerate achievement of the Sustainable Development Goals in Nigeria (Enemaku *et al.*, 2022; Musa & Ferguson, 2019). Communication campaigns on governance reforms, fight against corruption, promoting digital and financial literacy are rising (Abah & Nwosu, 2021).

While development communication in Nigeria has achieved some successes over the decades, considerable gaps persist around reaching marginalized communities, coordination issues, and ineffective use of data-driven strategies. Addressing policy and capacity barriers can help strengthen the role of strategic communication in fostering holistic development in contemporary Nigeria.

Conclusion

This study has explored the potential for applying symbolic interactionism, pragmatism, and social constructionism perspectives to development communication campaigns in Nigeria. These three sociological and philosophical perspectives offer useful lenses for understanding target audiences, crafting effective messages, and facilitating social change.

Symbolic interactionism focuses on the shared meanings and interpretations that people develop through social interactions. Applying this view to campaigns involves understanding local cultures, values, and communication norms in the design of resonant messages. Pragmatism suggests focusing on the practical implications and tangible outcomes of social issues to motivate change rather than abstract principles. Campaign designers can therefore highlight the functional benefits and real-life impacts in their messaging. Finally, social constructionism sees societal realities as constructed through language, discourse and shared

agreements. Campaigns can influence policy making by shaping public discourse on development issues like poverty, health and gender rights. Effective messaging makes certain constructions of these issues more salient and repeated exposure leads people to internalize these views as normal.

Together, these three perspectives create a powerful conceptual toolkit for unpacking development issues, understanding target communities, and creating campaigns that connect with audiences at an emotional level to inspire change. Further research should explore practical applications of these theories in the design of both mass media and grassroots development campaigns in Nigeria.

Acknowledgements

We extend our heartfelt gratitude to **Professor Ashong C. Ashong** of the Department of Communication Arts, University of Uyo for his invaluable contributions in laying the foundation for this research paper. His profound insights, guidance, and unwavering support have played a pivotal role in shaping the utilization of Symbolic Interactionism, Pragmatism, and Social Constructionism in development communication campaigns in Nigeria. Professor Ashong's expertise, mentorship, and scholarly wisdom have been instrumental in refining the theoretical framework and methodology of this study. His dedication to fostering academic excellence and intellectual curiosity has greatly enriched our understanding of these theoretical perspectives. We are truly fortunate to have had the privilege of learning from such a distinguished scholar and we are deeply appreciative of his enduring influence on the development of this research.

Appendix A

Table 5: Overview of Campaigns included in the Study

Campaign Title	Socioeconomic	Geographic	Implementation
	Issue	Region	Period
Health for All	Health Access	Rural	2019 - 2023
Empower Women	Gender Equity	Urban	2018 - 2022
Green Harvest	Agriculture	Northern	2020 - 2024
Clean Nigeria	Sanitation	Coastal	2021 - 2025
Financial	Financial	Southern	2017-2021
Inclusion Drive	Inclusion		
Clean Water	Sanitation	Coastal	2018-2022
Initiative			
Family Planning	Reproductive	Rural	2016-2020
Advocacy	Health		
Digital	Agriculture	Northern	2022-2026
Agriculture			
Youth	Economic	Southern	2021-2025
	Health for All Empower Women Green Harvest Clean Nigeria Financial Inclusion Drive Clean Water Initiative Family Planning Advocacy Digital Agriculture	Health for All Health Access Empower Women Gender Equity Green Harvest Agriculture Clean Nigeria Sanitation Financial Financial Inclusion Drive Inclusion Clean Water Sanitation Family Planning Advocacy Health Digital Agriculture Agriculture	Health for All Health Access Rural Empower Women Gender Equity Urban Green Harvest Agriculture Northern Clean Nigeria Sanitation Coastal Financial Financial Southern Inclusion Drive Inclusion Clean Water Sanitation Coastal Family Planning Reproductive Family Planning Advocacy Health Digital Agriculture Northern Agriculture

	Entrepreneurship	Development		
10	Tech for Education	Education	Urban	2019-2023
11	Urban Renewal Project	Infrastructure	Urban	2017-2021
12	Sanitation for All	Sanitation	Rural	2020-2024
13	Education Equality	Education	Northern	2018-2022
14	Renewable Energy Initiative	Energy Access	Coastal	2019-2023
15	Gender in Agriculture	Gender Equity	Rural	2021-2025
16	Financial Literacy Program	Financial Inclusion	Urban	2016-2020
17	WASH for All	Water, Sanitation, Hygiene	Rural	2022-2026
18	Coastal Clean-Up	Environmental Conservation	Coastal	2022-2026
19	Maternal Health Access	Health Access	Southern	2017-2021
20	Youth Skill Development	Economic Development	Urban	2020-2024
21	Tech for Health	Health Access	Northern	2018-2022
22	Rural Infrastructure Upgrade	Infrastructure	Rural	2021-2025
23	Sustainable Farming	Agriculture	Coastal	2016-2020
24	Accessible Education	Education	Southern	2019-2023
25	Community Solar Initiative	Energy Access	Rural	2020-2024
26	Entrepreneurial Women	Gender Equity	Urban	2017-2021
27	Tech for Agriculture	Agriculture	Urban	2019-2023
28	Inclusive Education	Education	Northern	2018-2022
29	Green Energy for All	Energy Access	Coastal	2020-2024
30	Women in STEM	Gender Equity	Rural	2021-2025
31	Microfinance Empowerment	Financial Inclusion	Urban	2016-2020
32	Marine Conservation	Environmental Conservation	Coastal	2022-2026

22	A '11	TT 1/1 A	C 41	2017 2021
33	Accessible	Health Access	Southern	2017-2021
	Healthcare			2000 2004
34	Vocational	Economic	Urban	2020-2024
	Training	Development		
35	Tech for Water	Water	Northern	2018-2022
	Management	Management		
36	Rural Women	Gender Equity	Rural	2021-2025
	Empowerment			
37	Financial	Financial	Coastal	2016-2020
	Inclusion for	Inclusion		
	Youth			
38	Biodiversity	Environmental	Coastal	2019-2023
	Conservation	Conservation		
39	Mental Health	Health Access	Southern	2020-2024
	Awareness			
40	Sustainable	Economic	Urban	2017-2021
	Tourism	Development		
41	Tech for	Environmental	Coastal	2022-2026
	Sustainable	Conservation		
	Fishing			
42	Maternal and	Health Access	Rural	2018-2022
	Child Nutrition	Treatm recess	Testar	2010 2022
43	Urban Green	Environmental	Urban	2019-2023
.5	Spaces	Conservation	Croun	2019 2023
44	Access to	Education	Southern	2016-2020
''	Information	Laucation	Southern	2010 2020
45	Renewable Water	Water	Northern	2021-2025
13	Solutions Solutions	Management	Northern	2021-2023
46	Inclusive	Economic	Urban	2020-2024
40	Business	Development	Croun	2020-2024
	Practices	Development		
47	Tech for	Environmental	Coastal	2017-2021
4/	Environmental	Conservation	Cuasiai	2017-2021
	Education	Constivation		
48		Hoolth Access	Rural	2019 2022
48	Community Health Clinics	Health Access	Kuidi	2018-2022
40		Casial	I Iula ou	2022 2027
49	Youth Civic	Social	Urban	2022-2026
50	Engagement	Development	NI41	2016 2020
50	Agro-processing	Agriculture	Northern	2016-2020
7.1	Innovation	XXI 4	D 1	2010 2022
51	Water Harvesting	Water	Rural	2019-2023
	Techniques	Management	D 1	2020 2021
52	Financial	Financial	Rural	2020-2024
	Literacy	Inclusion		
	for Farmers			
53	Tech for	Education	Urban	2017-2021
-	•		•	

	Inclusive			
	Education			
54	Coastal Erosion	Environmental	Coastal	2018-2022
	Mitigation	Conservation		
55	Maternal Mental	Health Access	Southern	2022-2026
	Health Support			
56	Inclusive	Economic	Rural	2019-2023
	Business for	Development		
	Artisans			
57	Tech for Waste	Environmental	Urban	2016-2020
	Management	Conservation		
58	Youth Sports	Social	Northern	2021-2025
	Development	Development		
59	Rural	Energy Access	Rural	2017-2021
	Electrification			
	Project			
60	Tech for	Infrastructure	Urban	2020-2024
	Accessible			
	Transportation			
61	Community-	Health Access	Southern	2018-2022
	based Healthcare			
62	Sustainable	Economic	Rural	2021-2025
	Livelihoods for	Development		
(2)	Artisans	Г	C 4.1	2016 2020
63	Tech for Climate Resilience	Environmental Conservation	Coastal	2016-2020
64	Education for	Education	Northern	2019-2023
04	Indigenous	Education	Normen	2019-2023
	Communities			
65	Financial	Financial	Urban	2017-2021
	Inclusion for	Inclusion		2017 2021
	Persons with			
	Disabilities			
66	Urban Green	Environmental	Urban	2022-2026
	Infrastructure	Conservation		
67	Youth Mental	Health Access	Southern	2018-2022
	Health Awareness			
68	Sustainable	Environmental	Coastal	2020-2024
	Fishing Practices	Conservation		
69	Accessible	Technology	Rural	2016-2020
	Technology for			
	the Elderly			
70	Vocational	Gender Equity	Urban	2021-2025
	Training for			
	Women			2010.25
71	Tech for	Energy Access	Northern	2019-2023
	Renewable			

	Energy			
72	Inclusive Sports	Social	Urban	2017-2021
	Programs	Development		
73	Rural Water	Water	Rural	2022-2026
	Conservation	Management		
74	Tech for	Economic	Coastal	2018-2022
	Inclusive	Development		
	Employment			
75	Cultural Heritage	Social	Southern	2020-2024
	Preservation	Development		
76	Women's Health	Health Access	Rural	2016-2020
	and			
	Empowerment			
77	Accessible Arts	Education	Urban	2019-2023
	Education			
78	Tech for Water	Water	Northern	2021-2025
	Conservation	Management		
79	Inclusive	Economic	Coastal	2017-2021
	Entrepreneurship	Development		
80	Community-led	Energy Access	Rural	2020-2024
	Renewable			
	Energy			
81	Tech for Social	Social	Urban	2018-2022
	Inclusion	Development		
82	Rural Sanitation	Sanitation	Southern	2022-2026
	Improvement			
83	Sustainable	Agriculture	Northern	2017-2021
	Agriculture			
	Practices			
84	Tech for Wildlife	Environmental	Coastal	2019-2023
	Conservation	Conservation		
85	Accessible	Education	Rural	2018-2022
	Higher Education			
86	Inclusive	Infrastructure	Urban	2020-2024
	Housing			
	Solutions			
87	Tech for	Social	Northern	2016-2020
	Community	Development		
	Resilience			

References

- Abah, J.O., & Nwosu, J.C. (2021). Antecedents of Strategic Communication Campaigns. Journal of Management and Strategy, 12(1), 46-57.
- Abort, M., & Asu, B. O. (2019). Application of pragmatic acts to branded entertainment messages on Nigerian television. LWATI: *A Journal of Contemporary Research*, 16(3), 21-41.
- Adanikin, A. F., McGrath, J. M., & Strong, R. (2018). Using a social construction of reality perspective to understand vaccine refusal in northern Nigeria. *Journal of Transcultural Nursing*, 30(6), 592–600.
- Ajiboye, J. O., & Bello, H. M. (2019). Impact of Communication Strategies on Rural Development in Nigeria. *Journal of Development Communication*, 30(2), 87-104.
- Akinfeleye, R. (2008). Essentials of Development Communication in Nigeria. *University of Lagos Press*.
- Asamoah, C. K., Asamoah, B. O., & Agardh, A. (2019). A parents' meeting on knowledge, perceptions and acceptability of HPV vaccination to adolescents in Ghana. PloS one, 14(2), e0212073.
- Asenso, J. K., Reinsch, M., Calys-Tagoe, B., Owusu, P. A., Mprah, W., Bondzie, E. A., & Pagliano, P. (2019). Incorporating cultural and socioeconomic factors associated with HIV/AIDS into health communication campaigns in rural Ghana. *Journal of Communication in Healthcare*, 12(1), 40-46.
- Berger, P. L., & Luckmann, T. (1966). The Social Construction of Reality: A Treatise in the Sociology of Knowledge. Anchor Books.
- Bicchieri, C., Jiang, T., & Lindemans, J. W. (2020). A social norm of fairness in high stakes decisions: Evidence from a lab-in-the-field experiment in rural Liberia. Economics Letters, 186, 108660.
- Carter, M. J., & Fuller, C. (2016). Symbols, meaning, and action: The past, present, and future of symbolic interactionism. Current Sociology, 64(6), 931-961.
- Chasi, C., & Walker, L. (2019). 'The blood pump': Applying a pragmatic approach to understanding information exposure in a rural South African community. *Journal of African Media Studies*, 11(3), 357-374.
- Creswell, J. W., & Poth, C. N. (2018). Qualitative inquiry & research design: Choosing among five approaches (4th ed.). SAGE Publications.
- Ekeanyanwu, N. T., & Obianigwe, N. (2018). The pyrrhic victory of pragmatism over idealism in public governance communication in Africa the nexus between government public relations' ineffective rhetorical manoeuvres and critics'/citizens' cynicism. Critical Arts, 32(1), 6-20.
- Enahoro, J., & Ige, O. (2013). TV, Ideology and the Boko Haram Insurgence in Nigeria. *Journal of Black Studies*, 44(1), 139–146.
- Enemaku, O.S. et al. (2022). Strategic Communication for Actualizing the Sustainable Development Goals in Nigeria. *Journal of Asian and African Studies*, 57(1), 74-92.

- Fereday, J., & Muir-Cochrane, E. (2006). Demonstrating rigor using thematic analysis: A hybrid approach of inductive and deductive coding and theme development. *International Journal of Qualitative Methods*, 5(1), 1-11.
- Green, E. (2021). Cultural resonance and the most significant change technique. *American Journal of Evaluation*, 42(1), 67-85.
- Ihechu, I. P., & Okugo, U. C. (2019). Community radio broadcasting in Nigeria: Assessing the democratic participation towards community development. *Journal of Humanities and Social Policy*, 5(2), 01-08.
- Kamlongera, C., & Tufte, T. (2017). Repurposing digital trace data: Exploring a local perspective on the information needs of Malawian smallholder farmers. *Journal of Agricultural & Food Information*, 18(3-4), 307-324.
- Kamlongera, C., & Tufte, T. (2017). Repurposing digital trace data: Exploring a local perspective on the information needs of Malawian smallholder farmers. *Journal of Agricultural & Food Information*, 18(3-4), 307-324.
- Krenn, S., & Bailey, J. (2020). Let's talk about sex(ism)! Tackling gender issues through participatory theatre among Ugandan youth. Development in Practice, 30(4), 460-473.
- Lee, N. C., & Davie, W. R. (2015). Audience engagement and the role of arts talk in the digital era. *Palgrave Macmillan*.
- Miles, M. B., Huberman, A. M., & Saldaña, J. (2020). Qualitative data analysis: A methods sourcebook (4th ed.). *SAGE Publications*, Inc.
- Musa, A. S., & Ferguson, N. (2019). The media ecology of development communication in Nigeria. *Journal of African Media Studies*, 11(3), 267-284.
- Nwosu, I. E. (2021). Pragmatic Dimensions of Communication Strategies in Development Initiatives: A Case Study of Nigeria. *International Journal of Communication*, 15, 1801-1818.
- Obregón, R., & Tufte, T. (Eds.). (2017). Communication and social movements: A cultural politics perspective. Communication, Social Movements and Global Public Health in the 21st Century (pp. 31-50). Routledge.
- Obregón, R., & Tufte, T. (Eds.). (2017). Communication, social movements, and global public health in the 21st century. Communication for Development: Overlooking the Underserving?.
- Ojebuyi, B. R. (2020). Between the Devil and the Deep Blue Sea: Interrogating Dominant Models in HIV/AIDS Campaigns in Nigeria. *Research Journal Social Sci.*, 12, 1-12.
- Okigbo, C. (2020). Symbolic Interactionism in Cultural Communication: Insights for Development Communication. *Journal of Intercultural Communication Research*, 49(5), 399-415.
- Okigbo, C. C., & Eribo, F. (2004). Fundamentals of scientific and technical communication. Springfield Publishers Ltd.
- Oliveira, G. (Ed.). (2013). Food identities: Interdisciplinary perspectives. Bloomsbury Academic.

- Riley, T., Spiers, J., Busse, H., Risenga, P. R., Munodawafa, V., Chirenje, Z. M., & Shanaube, K. (2018). Engaging men in HIV programmes: A qualitative study of male engagement in community-based antiretroviral refill groups in Zimbabwe. *Journal of the International AIDS Society*, 21, e25113.
- Servaes, J., & Malikhao, P. (2021). Advocacy strategies for development communication. In Development Communication (pp. 227-239). Springer, Singapore.
- Sood, S., cronin, K., Venkatesh, M., McLean, E., Drevno, A., Macri, C. J., Kuye, J. O., Peace, T., & Cairns, E. A. (2018). Development communication strategies for enhancing access in resource-limited settings. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*, 1418(1), 73.
- Storey, D., Saffitz, F., & Rimon, J. (2021). Social marketing for health communication and social change: A methods book. Jones & Bartlett Learning.
- Udeajah, R. A. (2004). Broadcasting and politics in Nigeria: Pioneering development journalist perspective. Nnamdi Azikiwe University Press.
- Udoudom, U., Bassey, B., George, K., & Etifit, S. (2024). Impact of Symbolic Interactionism, Pragmatism and Social Constructionism on Communication and Media Practice. *International Journal of Humanities, Education, and Social Sciences*, 2(1), 1-25. https://doi.org/10.58578/ijhess.v2i1.2547
- Ugboajah, F. (1985). Oral tradition and communication. In U. P. Udoakah (Ed.), Development communication in Nigeria (pp. 41-53). University Press.
- Umeogu, B., & Ifeoma, E. (2018). Mass media of communication and Nigeria's development: Historical overview of Nigerian Television Authority (NTA) in national development. *Covenant Journal of Communication*, 5(1), 21-38.
- Waisbord, S. (2019). Communication studies without frontiers? Translation and cosmopolitanism across academic cultures. *International Journal of Communication*, 13, 868–886.