



KARNALI Paradigm in the Development Domain

Narbir Aidee

Ph.D. Scholar, RKDF University Ranchi, India | narbir.aidee@gmail.com

Received: 10-05-2023 Reviewed: 15-05-2023

Accepted: 25-05-2023

Abstract

The KARNALI Paradigm is a systematic methodology for development in Nepal's Karnali Province, prioritizing community empowerment, participatory development, and sustainability. It involves assessing needs, mobilizing communities, and planning projects, securing resources, implementing initiatives, and evaluating progress to create an inclusive and effective model of development. The paradigm recognizes the multidimensional nature of development, targeting economic, social, cultural, human, environmental, political, and technological aspects. It aims to reduce inequality, eradicate poverty, and improve access to goods, services, and opportunities. Accountability is essential for transparent and equitable development outcomes. A holistic approach promotes transparency and accountability through systems, policies, effective knowledge implementation, resource management, continuous learning, innovation, and stakeholder collaboration. The KARNALI Paradigm offers a framework to implement these recommendations, fostering accountability and positive societal change. By adopting the paradigm and implementing these strategies, individuals and organizations in the development sector can achieve their goals and create meaningful impact in Karnali Province.

Keywords: Development, Knowledge, Accessibility, Resources

1. Introduction

Karnali Province in Nepal, despite its rich natural resources, faces challenges like poverty and inadequate infrastructure. The KARNALI Paradigm addresses these issues through community empowerment, participatory development, and sustainability. The Nepalese government is investing in infrastructure and supporting local communities. Karnali Province also offers visitors a chance to experience Nepali culture and explore pristine areas.

2. Definition of Development

Development is a multifaceted process involving economic, social, cultural, human, environmental, political, and technological dimensions(Biddle, 1966). It aims to improve people's quality of life by addressing challenges such as poverty and unemployment. Scholars have provided diverse definitions, highlighting goals such as economic growth, poverty reduction, social development, innovation, and resource utilization(Todaro, Michael.P dan Smith, 2006). It encompasses predictable changes, growth, capability, and interaction with the environment over time(REYES GUZMÁN, 2009). Development seeks to create positive transformations and meet diverse needs across regions, making it a dynamic and evolving process.(Burgos, 1951)

3. Materials and Method

A systematic literature review was conducted to study lettuce cultivation. Various reputable sources were reviewed to gather information on materials and methods. Valuable details were extracted and organized for analysis, identifying patterns, trends, and gaps in the literature. The study provided an unbiased assessment of strengths and limitations, summarized key findings, and made recommendations for best practices and future research. It contributed to existing knowledge and offered practical insights for lettuce growers.

4. Methodology

The KARNALI paradigm prioritizes community empowerment, participatory development, and sustainability in Karnali Province. It involves needs assessment, community mobilization, project planning, resource mobilization, implementation, and monitoring. This approach aims to achieve inclusive development through knowledge, accessibility, resources, accountability, learning, and innovation.

4.1. “KAR” Paradigm for Development

Knowledge, accessibility, and resources (KAR) are vital for development. Knowledge, encompassing systems, target, and transformation knowledge, drives societal change(Mann, 1976). Accessibility ensures inclusivity and addresses barriers for product, service, and environment usage. Resources, including financial, human, natural, technological, information, and institutional resources, are essential for development(Harris & Todaro, 1970). Effective management and utilization of these resources contribute to human well-being and economic growth.(Koppelaar et al., 2018)

4.1.1. Knowledge

Development is a knowledge-driven process involving systems, targets, and transformation knowledge. Collaboration, transdisciplinary approaches, and stakeholder engagement are crucial for generating knowledge and effecting change(Sofer & Elkan, 1962). Development requires a combination of different knowledge types, skills, and qualities like adaptability, innovation, collaboration, and communication.(Budhathoki, 2019)

4.1.2. Accessibility:

Accessibility is crucial in development, ensuring equal access and benefits for all individuals or groups. Limited accessibility means some face barriers, while no accessibility leads to exclusion and marginalization. Strategies like addressing barriers, promoting inclusivity, and strengthening partnerships improve accessibility and foster inclusive development. Collaboration and tailored programs enhance inclusivity.

4.1.3. Resources:

Resources are essential for development, including financial, human, natural, technological, information, and institutional resources. They drive economic growth, reduce poverty, promote environmental sustainability, foster social equity, and encourage innovation. Efficient resource management is crucial for achieving positive developmental outcomes in various aspects of human well-being and societal progress.(White & Haapala, 2019)

4.2. Nature of Implementation:

Implementation of knowledge, accessibility, and resources is crucial for development. It involves applying different types of knowledge, removing barriers, promoting inclusivity, and effectively utilizing resources(Sharma et al., 2021). This holistic approach contributes to economic growth, poverty reduction, environmental sustainability, social equity, and innovation, driving societal change in the development sector.(Adhikari, 2020)

4.2.1. Knowledge Implementation Nature:

Implementing knowledge involves applying acquired information, skills, and understanding to drive societal change. It requires utilizing the three types of knowledge (systems, target, and transformation) and considering facts, values, and agency within science, politics, and practice. A comprehensive, transdisciplinary approach, along with a willingness to learn, adapt, innovate, collaborate, and communicate effectively, is essential for successful knowledge implementation in development.(Salma & Waelli, 2023)

4.2.2. Accessibility Implementation Nature:

Implementing accessibility in development involves designing with user needs in mind and removing barriers to access. It refers to individuals' or groups' ability to benefit from development interventions. To improve accessibility, barriers must be addressed, inclusivity promoted, and targeted support provided. Policies, laws, and practices should promote equal access and inclusion.(Tsumita et al., 2023)

4.2.3. Resources Implementation Nature:

Resource implementation in the development sector involves identifying, mobilizing, allocating, and utilizing resources effectively for sustainable development goals. This includes managing financial, human, natural, and technological, information, and institutional resources. Effective implementation can drive economic growth, poverty reduction, environmental sustainability, social equity, and innovation.(Ambuehl et al., 2022)

4.3. “ALI” Paradigm for Development

The article emphasizes accountability, learning, implementation, and innovation in the development sector. Accountability ensures effective and transparent delivery of development outcomes. Learning enables knowledge application for societal change. Accessibility focuses on access to development interventions. Resource implementation is crucial for sustainable development goals. Innovation drives continuous improvement in knowledge acquisition and application for development.(Arora-Jonsson, 2023)

4.3.1. Accountability:

Accountability in the development sector refers to the responsibility of individuals, organizations, and institutions to deliver outcomes transparently and equitably. It requires appropriate systems and mechanisms to provide information and enforce standards. Accessibility ensures equal access to development interventions, and resource implementation involves effective allocation for sustainable development goals. Implementation requires a holistic approach, willingness to learn, adapt, collaborate, and innovate.(Balasubramanya et al., 2022)

4.3.2. Learning:

Knowledge implementation involves acquiring and applying information, skills, and understanding for societal change. Accessibility implementation requires addressing barriers, promoting inclusivity, and providing targeted support. Resource implementation involves planning, managing, and utilizing resources effectively. Collaboration, adaptability, and communication are crucial for successful implementation in the development sector.

4.3.3. Innovation or Implementation:

Innovation in development involves finding new or better ways to achieve goals. In knowledge implementation, it means exploring new methods and skills. In accessibility, it means promoting inclusivity and removing barriers. In resource implementation, it means efficient use and finding new sources. Innovation drives development and positive societal change.(Schiuma & Santarsiero, 2023)

5. Conclusion

Accountability is key in the development sector, requiring effective systems, policies, and mechanisms. Implementing knowledge, accessibility, and resources is crucial for accountability. A comprehensive approach is needed, considering interrelated knowledge types and involving stakeholders. Accessibility involves removing barriers through inclusive practices. Resource implementation requires careful planning and an awareness of interdependence. Collaboration, adaptability, innovation, and effective communication are essential. Continuous improvement enhances development outcomes and societal change. By promoting accountability and fostering a culture of learning and innovation, we can work towards a sustainable and equitable future.

6. Recommendation

To promote accountability, learning, and effective implementation or innovation in the development sector, recommendations include adopting a holistic approach, developing transparent systems, implementing knowledge effectively, ensuring accessibility, managing resources strategically, and fostering a culture of continuous learning and collaboration. These measures enhance accountability, achieve sustainable development goals, and drive positive societal change in the development sector.

7. Reference

- Biddle, W. W. (1966). The “fuzziness” of definition of community development. *Community Development Journal*, 1(2), 5–12. <https://doi.org/10.1093/cdj/1.2.5>
- Hamlyn, D. W. (1975). The Concept of Development. *Journal of Philosophy of Education*, 9(1), 26–39. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9752.1975.tb00432.x>
- Burgos, H. I. (1951). Concept and definition of dystrophy. *El Dia Medico*, 23(73), 3305–3308.
- Todaro, M. P., & Smith, S. C. (2012). *The Developed and Developing World Income*. 829.
- REYES GUZMÁN, G. (2009). Amartya Sen. Development as Freedom. *Problems Del Desarrollo. Revisit Latino Americana de Economic*, 32(126). <https://doi.org/10.22201/ieec.20078951e.2001.126.7398>
- Todaro, Michael. P dan Smith, S. C. (2006). Pembangunan Ekonomi, Edisi Kesembilan. In *Annals of Tourism Research* (Vol. 3, Issue 1). <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0160738315000444>
- Society, R. E. (1961). *Review Reviewed Work (s): Migrants and Proletarians. Urban Labour in the Economic Development of Uganda.* by W. Elkan Review by: Arthur Hazlewood Published by: Oxford University Press on behalf of the Royal Economic Society Stable URL: [https://www.71\(282\),418–420](https://www.71(282),418-420).
- Press, C. (2017). *Review Reviewed Work (s): Urbanization, Planning, and National Development by John Friedmann* Review by: Gerald Breese Source: *Economic Development and Cultural Change*, Vol. 24, No. 1 (Oct. 1975), pp. 230-234 Published by: The University of. 24(1), 230–234.
- Harris & Todaro, J. R., & Michael P. (2015). Migration, Unemployment and Development: A Two-Sector Analysis. *American Economic Review*, 60(1), 126–142.
- Koppelaar, R. H. E. M., Sule, M. N., Kis, Z., Mensah, F. K., Wang, X., Triantafyllidis, C., van Dam, K. H., & Shah, N. (2018). Framework for WASH sector data improvements in data-poor environments, applied to Accra, Ghana. *Water (Switzerland)*, 10(9), 1–24. <https://doi.org/10.3390/W10091278>
- Schmitt, M. L., Clatworthy, D., Ogello, T., & Sommer, M. (2018). Making the case for a female-friendly toilet. *Water (Switzerland)*, 10(9), 15–17. <https://doi.org/10.3390/w10091193>
- Sah, R. D. (2017). *Gender and social inclusive WASH planning and policy in Nepal*. 2007, 1–6. <https://wedc-knowledge.lboro.ac.uk/resources/conference/38/Sah-2295.pdf>
- Poudyal, L. M. (2021). Policymaking on Governance and Service Delivery in Nepal [PRI Publication No. 024]. Kathmandu: Policy Research Institute.
- Adhikari, K. P. (n.d.). Governance for Sustainability of Rural Water Supply and Sanitation Schemes (RWSS): The Case from NAPA 1 -WASH. 17, 25–38.

- Budhathoki, C. B. (2019). Water Supply, Sanitation and Hygiene Situation in Nepal: A Review. *Journal of Health Promotion*, 7(June), 65–76. <https://doi.org/10.3126/jhp.v7i0.25513>
- Georgeou, M. S., Phillips, N., Pyakurel, M., Wali, U., & Clayton, N. (2018). Women and WASH in Nepal: Key Issues and Challenges. <https://doi.org/10.26183/5bc6a2e8c089c>
- White, P., & Haapala, J. (2018). *White and Haapala Water Security and Social Inclusion WATER SECURITY AND SOCIAL INCLUSION: LOCAL GOVERNANCE WITHIN THE NEWLY ESTABLISHED RURAL MUNICIPALITIES IN NEPAL*. *Nepal Journal of Social Science and Public Policy* (Vol. 5). Retrieved from www.nepalpolycynet.com
- Adhikari, K. P. (2020). Governance for Sustainability of Rural Water Supply and Sanitation Schemes (RWSS): Case from NAPA WASH. *Nepalese Journal of Development and Rural Studies*, 17, 26–40. <https://doi.org/10.3126/njdrs.v17i0.34950>
- Sharma, S., Baidya, M., Poudel, P., Panthi, S. R., Pote-Shrestha, R. R., Ghimire, A., & Pradhan, S. P. (2021). Drinking water status in nepal: An overview in the context of climate change. *Journal of Water Sanitation and Hygiene for Development*, 11(6), 859–866. <https://doi.org/10.2166/washdev.2021.045>
- Shields, K. F., Moffa, M., Behnke, N. L., Kelly, E., Klug, T., Lee, K., ... Bartram, J. (2021). Community management does not equate to participation: Fostering community participation in rural water supplies. *Journal of Water Sanitation and Hygiene for Development*, 11(6), 937–947. <https://doi.org/10.2166/washdev.2021.089>
- Sah, R. D. (2015). *Gender and social inclusive WASH planning and policy in Nepal*.
- Adhikari, K., Bishwakarma, D. R., & Ahmad, T. (n.d.). *Equity and inclusion in WASH in Nepal: policy and practice*.
- Budhathoki, C. B. (n.d.). *Water Supply, Sanitation and Hygiene Situation in Nepal: A Review*.
- Budhathoki, C. B. (2019). Water Supply, Sanitation and Hygiene Situation in Nepal: A Review. *Journal of Health Promotion*, 7(June), 65–76. <https://doi.org/10.3126/jhp.v7i0.25513>